



7860 Peters Road, F111 • Plantation, Florida 33324
(954) 723-0057 Phone • (954) 723-0353 Fax
email: info@naceonline.com

EARN CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION CREDITS!

**Emerging
Challenges
In Primary Care:
2009**



CONFERENCE

*St. Louis, MO
Westin St. Louis*

**Course Director
Gregg Sherman, MD**

**Activity Director
Michelle Frisch, MPH, CCMEP**

**Program Evaluation
May 6, 2009**

In April 2009, the National Association for Continuing Education (NACE) sponsored a CME program, ***Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2009***, in St. Louis, MO.

This educational activity was designed to provide primary care physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants and other primary care providers the opportunity to learn about diabetes, dyslipidemia, migraine, depression, spinal surgery, chronic pain management, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

In planning this CME activity, the NACE performed a needs assessment. A literature search was conducted, national guidelines were reviewed, survey data was analyzed, and experts in each therapeutic area were consulted to determine gaps in practitioner knowledge, competence or performance.

One hundred seventy three healthcare practitioners registered to attend ***Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2009*** in St. Louis, MO. One hundred five healthcare practitioners actually attended this conference. Each attendee was asked to complete and return an activity evaluation form prior to the end of the conference. Ninety-eight completed forms were received. The data collected is displayed in this report.

CME/CE ACCREDITATION INFORMATION


This activity has been reviewed and is acceptable for up to 14.25 credit(s) by the American Academy of Family Physicians. Of these credits, 7.0 conform to the AAFP criteria for evidence-based CME clinical content. CME credit has been increased to reflect 2 for 1 credit for only the EB CME portion. When reporting AAFP credit, report total Prescribed and Elective credit for this activity. It is not necessary to label credit as evidence-based CME for reporting purposes. The EB CME credit awarded for this activity was based on practice recommendations that were the most current with the strongest level of evidence available at the time this activity was approved. Since clinical research is ongoing, AAFP recommends that learners verify sources and review these and other recommendations prior to implementation into practice.

The National Association for Continuing Education is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians. The National Association for Continuing Education designates this educational activity for a maximum of 7 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

This program is approved for 7.0 contact hour(s) of continuing education by the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners. Program ID 0903144. This program was planned in accordance with AANP CE Standards and Policies and AANP Commercial Support Standards.


What is your professional degree?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
MD	80	81.6	82.5
DO	3	3.1	3.1
NP	5	5.1	5.2
PA	5	5.1	5.2
RN	2	2.0	2.1
Other	2	2.0	2.1
Total Valid	97	99.0	100.0
Total Missing	1	1.0	
Total	98	100.0	




What is your specialty?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Primary Care	82	83.7	85.4
Endocrinology	1	1.0	1.0
Rheumatology	0	0.0	0.0
Pulmonology	0	0.0	0.0
Cardiology	1	1.0	1.0
Gastroenterology	0	0.0	0.0
OB/GYN	3	3.1	3.1
Other	11	11.2	11.5
Total Valid	96	98.0	100.0
Total Missing	2	2.0	
Total	98	100.0	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Compare newer therapies with traditional antidiabetic agents, and discuss their place in therapy; Discuss the practical use of new treatments for type 2 diabetes including incretin mimetics, DPP-IV inhibitors, and incretin analogs, and amylinomemetic; Explain the new American Diabetes Association Management Guidelines:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	78	79.6	84.8
Somewhat	14	14.3	15.2
Not at all	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	92	93.9	100.0
Total Missing	6	6.1	
Total	98	100.0	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Recognize the prevalence of cardiovascular events in diabetes despite achieving LDL goals; Recognize therapeutic guideline recommendations for patients with Diabetic Dyslipidemia; Describe the impact of HDL-C and Triglycerides on cardiovascular risk in the diabetic population; Utilize combination therapy more comfortably and appropriately in patients with mixed dyslipidemia; More effectively communicate with patients about Cardiovascular Risk and treatment goals:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	79	80.6	85.9
Somewhat	13	13.3	14.1
Not at all	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	92	93.9	100.0
Total Missing	6	6.1	
Total	98	100.0	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - List the risk factors for major depression; Identify tools for the assessment of depression; List strategies for managing major depression in your clinical practice; Utilize treatment regimens tailored to individual patient needs, and increase chance of remission:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	74	75.5	86.0
Somewhat	12	12.2	14.0
Not at all	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	86	87.8	100.0
Total Missing	12	12.2	
Total	98	100.0	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Discuss the prevalence of migraine headaches, and how often appropriate diagnosis is missed; Distinguish between a migraine headache and sinus headaches, tension-type headaches and other types of headaches; Effectively manage migraines through a combination of acute and preventive pharmacologic and non- pharmacologic therapy; Effectively communicate with patients about lifestyle changes, preventive and acute treatment strategies:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	73	74.5	88.0
Somewhat	9	9.2	10.8
Not at all	1	1.0	1.2
Total Valid	83	84.7	100.0
Total Missing	15	15.3	
Total	98	100.0	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe evolving minimally invasive spinal surgical procedures; Discuss the indications for minimally invasive spine surgery; Explain expected outcome differences between traditional and minimally invasive spinal surgical techniques:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	70	71.4	74.5
Somewhat	24	24.5	25.5
Not at all	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	94	95.9	100.0
Total Missing	4	4.1	
Total	98	100.0	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Adequately assess pain severity; Explain DEA, Federal, and State Medical Board statutes in the utilization and documentation of opiate therapy; Utilize evidence based guidelines to improve opioid therapy in clinical practice; Identify Addiction, Pseudo-Addiction, Opiate physical dependence and the appropriate approaches to treatment of each problem :

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	79	80.6	84.0
Somewhat	15	15.3	16.0
Not at all	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	94	95.9	100.0
Total Missing	4	4.1	
Total	98	100.0	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Discuss the need for early recognition,prevention, and treatment of COPD; Discuss the role of lifestyle modification in the prevention and treatment of COPD; Discuss the targeted use of spirometry for diagnosis based on prescreening with validated instruments; Discuss current concepts of therapy, appreciating the importance of adopting evidence based guidelines for improving COPD patient care in clinical practice:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	77	78.6	88.5
Somewhat	10	10.2	11.5
Not at all	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	87	88.8	100.0
Total Missing	11	11.2	
Total	98	100.0	




Overall, I would rate this activity as:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	56	57.1	58.9
Very Good	37	37.8	38.9
Good	2	2.0	2.1
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Poor	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	95	96.9	100.0
Total Missing	3	3.1	
Total	98	100.0	




Overall, this activity was effective in enhancing my confidence in caring for patients with the condition(s) presented?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	51	52.0	53.7
Agree	43	43.9	45.3
Neutral	1	1.0	1.1
Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	95	96.9	100.0
Total Missing	3	3.1	
Total	98	100.0	




Overall, this activity was effective in improving my knowledge in the content areas presented:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	57	58.2	60.0
Agree	37	37.8	38.9
Neutral	1	1.0	1.1
Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	95	96.9	100.0
Total Missing	3	3.1	
Total	98	100.0	




As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	49	50.0	51.6
Agree	46	46.9	48.4
Neutral	0	0.0	0.0
Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	95	96.9	100.0
Total Missing	3	3.1	
Total	98	100.0	




How likely are you to implement these new strategies in your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Very likely	71	72.4	82.6
Somewhat likely	15	15.3	17.4
Unlikely	0	0.0	0.0
Not applicable	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	86	87.8	100.0
Total Missing	12	12.2	
Total	98	100.0	




When do you intend to implement these new strategies into your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Within 1 month	65	66.3	75.6
1-3 months	18	18.4	20.9
4-6 months	3	3.1	3.5
Not applicable	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	86	87.8	100.0
Total Missing	12	12.2	
Total	98	100.0	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: W. Blake Rodgers, MD (Spine):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	50	51.0	53.8
Very Good	34	34.7	36.6
Good	9	9.2	9.7
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	93	94.9	100.0
Total Missing	5	5.1	
Total	98	100.0	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Rick Chavez, MD (Pain):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	69	70.4	71.9
Very Good	22	22.4	22.9
Good	4	4.1	4.2
Fair	1	1.0	1.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	96	98.0	100.0
Total Missing	2	2.0	
Total	98	100.0	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Patrick Boyle, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	71	72.4	76.3
Very Good	19	19.4	20.4
Good	3	3.1	3.2
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	93	94.9	100.0
Total Missing	5	5.1	
Total	98	100.0	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Alexandre Ferriera, MD (Dyslipidemia):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	41	41.8	49.4
Very Good	32	32.7	38.6
Good	8	8.2	9.6
Fair	2	2.0	2.4
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	83	84.7	100.0
Total Missing	15	15.3	
Total	98	100.0	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: MeiLan K. Han, MD (COPD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	54	55.1	67.5
Very Good	23	23.5	28.8
Good	3	3.1	3.8
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	80	81.6	100.0
Total Missing	18	18.4	
Total	98	100.0	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: John Tomkowiak, MD (Depression):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	63	64.3	82.9
Very Good	12	12.2	15.8
Good	1	1.0	1.3
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	76	77.6	100.0
Total Missing	22	22.4	
Total	98	100.0	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Louis Kuritzky, MD (Migraine):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	56	57.1	86.2
Very Good	9	9.2	13.8
Good	0	0.0	0.0
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	65	66.3	100.0
Total Missing	33	33.7	
Total	98	100.0	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? W. Blake Rodgers, MD (Spine):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	63	64.3	67.7
Very Good	23	23.5	24.7
Good	6	6.1	6.5
Fair	1	1.0	1.1
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	93	94.9	100.0
Total Missing	5	5.1	
Total	98	100.0	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Rick Chavez, MD (Pain):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	78	79.6	83.9
Very Good	14	14.3	15.1
Good	1	1.0	1.1
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	93	94.9	100.0
Total Missing	5	5.1	
Total	98	100.0	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Patrick Boyle, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	66	67.3	73.3
Very Good	19	19.4	21.1
Good	4	4.1	4.4
Fair	1	1.0	1.1
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	90	91.8	100.0
Total Missing	8	8.2	
Total	98	100.0	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Alexandre Ferriera, MD (Dyslipidemia):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	67	68.4	76.1
Very Good	16	16.3	18.2
Good	5	5.1	5.7
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	88	89.8	100.0
Total Missing	10	10.2	
Total	98	100.0	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? MeiLan K. Han, MD (COPD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	64	65.3	77.1
Very Good	18	18.4	21.7
Good	1	1.0	1.2
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	83	84.7	100.0
Total Missing	15	15.3	
Total	98	100.0	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? John Tomkowiak, MD (Depression):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	66	67.3	83.5
Very Good	13	13.3	16.5
Good	0	0.0	0.0
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	79	80.6	100.0
Total Missing	19	19.4	
Total	98	100.0	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Louis Kuritzky, MD (Migraine):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	61	62.2	83.6
Very Good	12	12.2	16.4
Good	0	0.0	0.0
Fair	0	0.0	0.0
Unsatisfactory	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	73	74.5	100.0
Total Missing	25	25.5	
Total	98	100.0	




Which statement(s) best reflects your reasons for participating in this activity:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Topics covered	75	76.5	78.1
Location/ease of access	52	53.1	54.2
Faculty	20	20.4	20.8
Earn CME credits	75	76.5	78.1
Total Valid	96	98.0	100.0
Total Missing	2	2.0	
Total	98	100.0	



Future CME activities concerning this subject matter are necessary:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly agree	40	40.8	43.0
Agree	48	49.0	51.6
Neutral	5	5.1	5.4
Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0	0.0
Total Valid	93	94.9	100.0
Total Missing	5	5.1	
Total	98	100.0	



What is your professional degree?

Comment
MSN
Medical Assistant

What is your specialty?

Comment
Gyn-Oncology
Psychiatry x2
Hospitalist
Diabetes
Geriatrics
Emergency Medicine
Rehabilitation Medicine
Pain Management
Nephrology

As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care. List these strategies:

Comment
Strict control of diabetes and treatment of COPD. LDL reduction and enhance HDL levels
Diabetes treatment and better pain Rx documentation
Effectively deal with my oncology patient's pain issues and increased my knowledge on addiction
COPD and case studies in diabetes management
Implement decisions in strategic therapy and immediate care of patients in an emergency department
Better to prescribe options for pain management
Treatment of chronic pain and better diabetes management
Identifying serotonin syndrome
Be more aware of benefits of MIS spine surgeries. Consider role of hyperalgesia in chronic opiate treated pain
Better history and physical. Obtaining PFT's more often
Improve COPD treatment and improve tracking of pain patients on narcotics
Therapy of depression. How to better deal with pain control and new approaches
Get better patient history and check documentation. Recognizing side effects of medications
Use of SOAPP questionnaire, increase use of TZD's and increase use of spirometry
Referral for MIS and when to be more aggressive in early treatments
Document pain management medicine
The closer observation of patient response
Newer diabetes agents and better documentation with opoid use
More referral for MIS-surgery and more comfortable to take care of lower back pain. Modify my treatment of COPD
Will review current policy of pain management. Push for CES and ensure state guidelines are being addressed
Use CARES for screening patients and watch for Serotonin Syndrome
It is OK to be on more than 3 diabetic medications
More confident in treating patients with chronic pain and diabetes
Closer observations of diabetic patients
Better treatment of type 2 diabetes
Use of minimally invasive surgery and use of TZD
Better diabetes treatment
Some new thought on selection of antidepressants
Treat according to pathophysical of diabetes and not necessarily by society guidelines
Start treating diabetes earlier and more aggressively
Pain management-especially exit strategy
Will use written evaluations for pain management
Form for patient to to complete for documentation of chronic opiod treatment
Treatment plans for COPD. Treatment of hyperlipidemia in patients who will not tolerate statins
Talk more with patients with pain and I will be more comfortable treating diabetes with more than one drug
Will consider back surgery sooner especially in patients with spinal stenosis. Much better understanding of medical management of diabetics and which therapies cause increased weight gain. Try harder to get patients to quit smoking to better deal with COPD. Use preventative medications for migraine more often
Increase use of spirometry
MIS surgeries and how to counsel patient more about surgery
Earlier screening and detection and more aggressive therapy
Plan to increase use of newer diabetes medications-Byetla and Janovia. Also localization of spine surgeons using MIS procedures
Diabetes management and better treatments for pain
Assist in implementing exit strategies. Use of PFT's as smoking cessation tool

What topics would you like to see offered as CME activities in the future?

Comment
Bipolar disorder and HTN
Acute MI and hypertension
Infections
Autoimmune disorders and fibromyalgia
Dermatology and rheumatology
Rheumatology updates and more on infectious disease
CHF and cardiac problems
Smoking cessation and pain management
Cardiac diseases-CHF
Sleep apnea and geriatric topics
HIV, fibromyalgia, rheumatology updates and psychological evaluation of violent behavior
Bipolar, ADD, dementia, trauma in pregnancy and smoking cessation
Osteoporosis and congestive heart failure
Obesity, CHF and narcotic use
Dysfunctional uterine bleeding
Arthritis and hypertension
Seizure management
Vascular guidelines
Presentation on the newer atypical antipsychotic meds, treatment of arthritis, obesity and treatment of GI problems
Hypertension, endocrine, obesity and smoking cessation
Thyroid problems and erectile dysfunction
Prenatal care, bipolar issues and dermatology topics
Advanced in musculoskeletal conditions
GI, GU, Gyn, Ortho, ENT and infectious disease
Common cancers and strokes
IBS
Sleep apnea
Thyroid disorders and rheumatology
Any topic related to primary care
Thyroid disorders and treatment of menopause
Infectious disease and rheumatology
Treatment options for prostate cancer and treatment modalities for fibromyalgia

Additional comments:

Comment
Excellent
Excellent conference
Dr. Chavez is obviously knowledgeable however difficult to follow at times and somewhat preachy
Location with large parking space
All speakers were great
Excellent speakers
Good program
Excellent information
Good conference and excellent acoustic quality. Speakers were friendly with a good sense of humor. Parking was difficult though
Suggest future facility like Hilton
Excellent speakers
Could you add vocabulary on terms used for subjects that are disease and intervention specific. If you are a novice the vocabulary can be a barrier
Thank you
Very timely and well presented
Very well planned program. It would be helpful to provide trade names of drugs
Excellent conference
The conference was very nice
Dr. Tomkowiak was excellent! Have him back
Very nice program
An excellent conference, with excellent speakers and pertinent information. Please offer this again in the St. Louis area
It was a very good conference and I would attend again
Thank you

Item Statistics:

	Title	Specialty	Learning Objectives1	Learning Objectives2	Learning Objectives3	Learning Objectives4	Learning Objectives5
Mean	1.47	-	1.15	1.14	1.14	1.13	1.26
Variance	1.34	-	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.19
Standard Deviation	1.16	-	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.38	0.44
Standard Error	0.12	-	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05
Minimum	1.00	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	6.00	-	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00
Median	1.00	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	5.00	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00
Sum	143.00	-	106.00	105.00	98.00	94.00	118.00
Sum of Squares	339.00	-	134.00	131.00	122.00	118.00	166.00

	Learning Objectives6	Learning Objectives7	Overall Activity	Enhancing My Confidence	Improving My Knowledge	Patient Care	Make Changes
Mean	1.16	1.11	1.43	1.47	1.41	1.48	1.17
Variance	0.14	0.10	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.15
Standard Deviation	0.37	0.32	0.54	0.52	0.52	0.50	0.38
Standard Error	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00
Sum	109.00	97.00	136.00	140.00	134.00	141.00	101.00
Sum of Squares	139.00	117.00	222.00	232.00	214.00	233.00	131.00

	Implement New Strategies	W Blake Rodgers {Spine}	Rick Chavez {Pain}	Patrick Boyle {Diabetes}	Alexandre Ferriera {Dyslipidemia}	MeiLan Han {COPD}	John Tomkowiak {Depression}
Mean	1.28	4.44	4.66	4.73	4.35	4.64	4.82
Variance	0.27	0.44	0.38	0.26	0.57	0.31	0.18
Standard Deviation	0.52	0.67	0.61	0.51	0.76	0.56	0.42
Standard Error	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.05
Minimum	1.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Maximum	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	1.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00
Range	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00
Sum	110.00	413.00	447.00	440.00	361.00	371.00	366.00
Sum of Squares	164.00	1875.00	2117.00	2106.00	1617.00	1745.00	1776.00

	Louis Kuritzky {Migraine}	W Blake Rodgers {Spine} Bias	Rick Chavez {Pain} Bias	Patrick Boyle {Diabetes} Bias	Alexandre Ferriera {Dyslipidemia} Bias	MeiLan Han {COPD} Bias	John Tomkowiak {Depression} Bias
Mean	4.86	4.59	4.83	4.67	4.70	4.76	4.84
Variance	0.12	0.44	0.17	0.38	0.33	0.21	0.14
Standard Deviation	0.35	0.66	0.41	0.62	0.57	0.46	0.37
Standard Error	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04
Minimum	4.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	4.00
Maximum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Range	1.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	1.00
Sum	316.00	427.00	449.00	420.00	414.00	395.00	382.00
Sum of Squares	1544.00	2001.00	2183.00	1994.00	1976.00	1897.00	1858.00

	Louis Kuritzky {Migraine} Bias	Reasons for Participating	Future CME Activities
Mean	4.84	-	1.62
Variance	0.14	-	0.35
Standard Deviation	0.37	-	0.59
Standard Error	0.04	-	0.06
Minimum	4.00	-	1.00
Maximum	5.00	-	3.00
Median	5.00	-	2.00
Range	1.00	-	2.00
Sum	353.00	-	151.00
Sum of Squares	1717.00	-	277.00