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<td></td>
<td></td>
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Levels of Evaluation

Consistent with the policies of the ACCME, NACE evaluates the effectiveness of all CME activities using a systematic process based on the following model:

1. Participation
2. Satisfaction
3. Learning
   A. Declarative Knowledge
   B. Procedural Knowledge
4. Competence
5. Performance
6. Patient Health
7. Community Health


Level 1: Participation

- 94 attendees
- 70% Physicians; 7% NPs; 11% PAs; 7% RNs; 5% Other
- Over 80% in community-based practice
- 46% PCPs, 2% Endocrinologists; 4% Cardiologists; 19% Pulmonologists; 0% Gastroenterologist; 27% Other or did not respond

Did we reach the right audience? Yes!
Level 2: Satisfaction

- 98% rated the activity as very good to excellent
- 98% indicated the activity improved their knowledge
- 86% stated that they learned new strategies for patient care
- 77% said they would implement new strategies that they learned in their practice
- 99% said the program was fair-balanced and unbiased

Were our learners satisfied? Yes!

Level 2: Satisfaction

Upon completion of this activity, I can now –
Explain patient selection and outcomes of airway valves in the management of emphysema; Explain patient selection and treatment in asthma bronchoscopic thermoplasty; Discuss new horizons for Interventional Bronchoscopy

Did learners indicate they achieved the learning objectives? Yes! 99% believed they did.
Outcome Study Methodology

Goal
To determine the effect this CME activity had on learners with respect to competence to apply critical knowledge, confidence in treating patients with diseases or conditions discussed, and change in practice behavior.

Dependent Variables

• **Level 3: Competence to Apply Critical Knowledge**
  Case-based vignettes and pre- and post-test knowledge questions were asked with each session in the CME activity. Responses can demonstrate learning and competence in applying critical knowledge. The use of case vignettes for this purpose has considerable predictive value. Vignettes, or written case simulations, have been widely used as indicators of actual practice behavior. ¹

• **Practitioner Confidence**
  Confidence with the information relates directly to the likeliness of actively using knowledge. Practitioner confidence in his/her ability to diagnose and treat a disease or condition can affect practice behavior patterns.

• **Level 4: Self-Reported Change in Practice Behavior**
  Intent to change and change four weeks after CME activity.


Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma
Eduardo Oliveira, MD, MBA

Faculty

Eduardo Oliveira, MD, MBA
Chairman, Division of Medicine
Director, Interventional Pulmonology Program
Cleveland Clinic Florida
Weston, FL

Learning Objectives

• Explain patient selection and outcomes of airway valves in the management of emphysema
• Explain patient selection and treatment in asthma bronchoscopic thermoplasty
• Discuss new horizons for Interventional Bronchoscopy
Key Findings
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

Knowledge/Competence
Learners did not demonstrate significant improvement in their answers from pre to post-testing on any of the case-based questions regarding interventional bronchoscopy for treatment of severe emphysema or asthma.

Confidence
Participants self-reported that they felt more confident in treating these conditions following the presentation.

Intent to Perform
Learners stated that they were very likely (36%) to somewhat likely (49%) to implement strategies learned at this session in their practice.

Change of Practice Behavior
On a follow-up survey completed 4 weeks after the activity about 80% of learners who responded reported that they strongly agree or agree that they have implemented changes in their practice based on the information they learned in the CME activity.

Responses to Critical Knowledge and Case-Based Questions
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

A 79 yo patient with severe emphysema, poor performance status with an FEV1 and DLCO 15% predicted, asks you about surgical options to improve his emphysema. All of the following are appropriate statements regarding the current understanding of lung volume reduction (LVR) except:

- Patients with FEV1 and DLCO less than 20% have an unacceptably high lung volume reduction surgical mortality
- Bronchoscopic LVR trials have not been successful in promoting lung volume reduction or physiologic improvements
- Biological agents, valves, endobronchial blockers and coils are minimally invasive bronchoscopic LVR procedures currently being investigated
- Endobronchial valves are primarily aimed at patients with heterogeneous emphysema of the upper lobes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Pre %</th>
<th>Post %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients with FEV1 and DLCO less than 20% have a unacceptably high lung volume reduction surgical mortality</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoscopic LVR trials have not been successful in promoting lung volume reduction or physiologic improvements</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological agents, valves, endobronchial blockers and coils are minimally invasive bronchoscopic LVR procedures currently being investigated</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endobronchial valves are primarily aimed at patients with heterogeneous emphysema of the upper lobes</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Best answer p > .67
Responses to Critical Knowledge and Case-Based Questions
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

You are consulted regarding a patient who has developed a persistent air-leak post wedge resection of a lung mass. The chest tube was placed 13 days ago and the leak has not improved at all. Based on your knowledge on this subject, the best option for the treatment of prolonged air-leaks of the lung is:

- Prolonged Thoracostomy tube drainage +/- continuous wall suction ("chest tube")
- Heimlich Valve
- Videothoracoscopy with parenchymal stapling and/or mechanical pleurodesis
- Endobronchial, IBV valve placement
- All of the above

Pre %
- 9
- 2
- 16
- 16
- 56

Post %
- 0
- 6
- 4
- 43
- 47

Responses to Critical Knowledge and Case-Based Questions (cont)
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

A 35 yo male with severe asthma, not well controlled on inhaled steroids, using rescue inhalers two to three times a day, who has had multiple ED visits for asthma exacerbation over the past 12 months comes for follow up. He hands you a Wall Street Journal article discussing a recently FDA approved procedure called bronchial thermoplasty and asks if he would be a good candidate for the procedure. All of the above is true regarding bronchial thermoplasty except:

- It is indicated only for Adult asthmatics
- To qualify for the procedure the patients must be symptomatic while on Inhaled Steroids and LABA
- Treatment leads to a 32% reduction in the rate of severe exacerbations
- An 84% reduction in ER visits for respiratory symptoms was observed in the treatment group
- The procedure leads to short and long term post-procedural reduction in hospitalizations

Pre %
- 20
- 30
- 15
- 13
- 23

Post %
- 14
- 16
- 7
- 23
- 41
Changes in Confidence from Pre to Post-Testing
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

On a scale of 1 to 5 please rate how confident you would be in treating patients with this condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confidence Level</th>
<th>Pre %</th>
<th>Post %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all confident</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly confident</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately confident</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretty much confident</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very confident</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intention to Change Practice Behavior and Implement Learning
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

How likely are you to implement strategies learned from this presentation in your practice?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Pre %</th>
<th>Post %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Likely</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Likely</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Self-Reported Changes in Practice Behavior Four Weeks After the Activity
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

Learning Objectives:
- Explain patient selection and outcomes of airway valves in the management of emphysema;
- Explain patient selection and treatment in asthma bronchoscopic thermoplasty;
- Discuss new horizons for Interventional Bronchoscopy.

Discussion and Implications
Interventional Bronchoscopy Update: Valves for Emphysema and Treatments of Asthma

The need for continued education in the evaluation and treatment of severe emphysema and asthma was demonstrated based on literature reviews and surveys completed prior to the conference series.

Dr. Eduardo Oliveira, the NACE faculty for this program, received good ratings on his effectiveness in delivering this material. Attendee knowledge was assessed using the case vignettes listed above with results indicating no statistically significant improvement in the post testing on any of the questions asked. However, participants reported that the learning objectives of the activity were met and they are better able as a result of this lecture to:
- explain patient selection and outcomes of airway valves in the management of emphysema;
- explain patient selection and treatment in asthma bronchoscopic thermoplasty;
- discuss new horizons for interventional bronchoscopy.

Self-reported confidence in this area of patient care did improve following the education.

A majority of learners reported on a 1 month follow up survey to have implemented the learning objectives of this activity.

The poor improvement in post-test scores on case-based questions may indicate that gaps in knowledge in this area exist even after the education was delivered. The topic of interventional bronchoscopy and treatment of emphysema and asthma continues to be an important area for future educational programs.