



Emerging Challenges In Primary Care: *2012*

Activity Evaluation Summary

CME Activity: Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012
Saturday, August 18, 2012
Atlanta Marriott Perimeter Center
Atlanta, GA

Course Director: Gregg Sherman, MD

**Date of Evaluation
Summary:** September 10, 2012



300 NW 70th Avenue, Suite 102 • Plantation, Florida 33317
(954) 723-0057 Phone • (954) 723-0353 Fax
email: info@naceonline.com

In August 2012, the National Association for Continuing Education (NACE) sponsored a CME program, *Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012*, in Atlanta, GA.

This educational activity was designed to provide primary care physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants and other primary care providers the opportunity to learn about Diabetes, Atrial Fibrillation, Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Osteoporosis, Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension, Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Disorder, ADHD in Adults, and Chronic Low Back Pain.

In planning this CME activity, the NACE performed a needs assessment. A literature search was conducted, national guidelines were reviewed, survey data was analyzed, and experts in each therapeutic area were consulted to determine gaps in practitioner knowledge, competence or performance.

Three hundred three healthcare practitioners registered to attend *Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012* in Atlanta, GA. One hundred eighty six healthcare practitioners actually attended this conference. Each attendee was asked to complete and return an activity evaluation form prior to the end of the conference. One hundred seventy nine completed forms were received. The data collected is displayed in this report.


CME ACCREDITATION

The National Association for Continuing Education is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The National Association for Continuing Education designates this live activity for a maximum of 8 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.


What is your professional degree?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
MD	125	69.83	69.83
DO	4	2.23	2.23
NP	26	14.53	14.53
PA	22	12.29	12.29
RN	2	1.12	1.12
Other	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	179	100.00	100.00




What is your specialty?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Primary Care	129	72.07	73.71
Endocrinology	0	0.00	0.00
Rheumatology	0	0.00	0.00
Pulmonology	2	1.12	1.14
Cardiology	2	1.12	1.14
Gastroenterology	3	1.68	1.71
OB/GYN	8	4.47	4.57
Other	31	17.32	17.71
Total Valid	175	97.77	100.00
Total Missing	4	2.23	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Address the importance of early diagnosis for enhancing outcomes in type 2 diabetes; identify evidence-based strategies for type 2 diabetes management; discuss the role of newer therapies in the pathophysiology and management of type 2 diabetes; and discuss the role of the chronic care model in optimizing diabetes care:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	148	82.68	84.09
Somewhat	28	15.64	15.91
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	176	98.32	100.00
Total Missing	3	1.68	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Discuss screening strategies for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women; identify candidates for pharmacologic treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis; evaluate and compare available therapies for osteoporosis; and discuss barriers to adherence and effectiveness of osteoporosis therapy:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	159	88.83	89.83
Somewhat	18	10.06	10.17
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	177	98.88	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.12	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Address the pathophysiology of PAH; discuss when and how to screen patients for PAH; identify current therapies in the management of PAH; and discuss how to appropriately monitor patients receiving treatment for PAH:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	104	58.10	58.76
Somewhat	66	36.87	37.29
Not at all	7	3.91	3.95
Total Valid	177	98.88	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.12	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe the pathophysiology and impact of AAT deficiency; Define approaches for the early identification of patients with AAT deficiency; Discuss strategies to improve testing in primary care settings; Discuss management of patients with AAT:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	136	75.98	76.40
Somewhat	41	22.91	23.03
Not at all	1	0.56	0.56
Total Valid	178	99.44	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.56	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Identify the conditions referred to as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and discuss their clinical presentations; implement appropriate pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic therapeutic strategies for managing IBD in accordance with evidence-based guidelines; tailor the available medications to the various presentations of IBD with attention to the induction and the maintenance of remission; use currently available laboratory tests to maximize benefit while minimizing toxicity; employ approaches for effectively communicating the risks and benefits of IBD treatment options and facilitating adherence:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	131	73.18	76.16
Somewhat	41	22.91	23.84
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	172	96.09	100.00
Total Missing	7	3.91	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe existing guidelines and best practices in the diagnosis and treatment of ADHD in adults; identify risks for co-morbidities in adult patients with ADHD with emphasis on anxiety disorders, mood disorders, and substance use/abuse disorders; plan a pharmacologic treatment program for adults diagnosed with simple ADHD and more complex ADHD that is complicated by co-morbidities; identify psychosocial treatments for adults diagnosed with ADHD:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	122	68.16	73.49
Somewhat	39	21.79	23.49
Not at all	5	2.79	3.01
Total Valid	166	92.74	100.00
Total Missing	13	7.26	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Use the CHADS2 score to assess stroke risk in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF); describe the benefits versus risks of antithrombotic therapy for stroke risk reduction in AF patients; identify appropriate therapeutic interventions for AF patients depending on the level of stroke risk; optimize the long-term management of AF patients receiving antithrombotic therapy:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	139	77.65	90.26
Somewhat	15	8.38	9.74
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	154	86.03	100.00
Total Missing	25	13.97	
Total	179	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Discuss the pathophysiology of low back pain (LBP); Recognize Waddell signs and how they can predict symptom magnification; Recognize the limitations of some commonly prescribed therapies for back pain; Demonstrate increased awareness of evolving pharmacotherapies and their role in low back pain:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	137	76.54	94.48
Somewhat	8	4.47	5.52
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	145	81.01	100.00
Total Missing	34	18.99	
Total	179	100.00	




Overall, I would rate this activity as:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	100	55.87	57.14
Very Good	67	37.43	38.29
Good	8	4.47	4.57
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Poor	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	175	97.77	100.00
Total Missing	4	2.23	
Total	179	100.00	




Overall, this activity was effective in enhancing my confidence in caring for patients with the condition(s) presented?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	87	48.60	49.15
Agree	85	47.49	48.02
Neutral	5	2.79	2.82
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	177	98.88	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.12	
Total	179	100.00	




Overall, this activity was effective in improving my knowledge in the content areas presented:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	97	54.19	55.11
Agree	79	44.13	44.89
Neutral	0	0.00	0.00
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	176	98.32	100.00
Total Missing	3	1.68	
Total	179	100.00	




As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	93	51.96	53.14
Agree	78	43.58	44.57
Neutral	4	2.23	2.29
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	175	97.77	100.00
Total Missing	4	2.23	
Total	179	100.00	




How likely are you to implement these new strategies in your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Very likely	111	62.01	65.29
Somewhat likely	48	26.82	28.24
Unlikely	2	1.12	1.18
Not applicable	9	5.03	5.29
Total Valid	170	94.97	100.00
Total Missing	9	5.03	
Total	179	100.00	




When do you intend to implement these new strategies into your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Within 1 month	107	59.78	63.69
1-3 months	41	22.91	24.40
4-6 months	5	2.79	2.98
Not applicable	15	8.38	8.93
Total Valid	168	93.85	100.00
Total Missing	11	6.15	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Robert Busch, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	126	70.39	71.19
Very Good	42	23.46	23.73
Good	7	3.91	3.95
Fair	2	1.12	1.13
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	177	98.88	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.12	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Marjorie Luckey, MD (Osteo):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	141	78.77	79.66
Very Good	32	17.88	18.08
Good	4	2.23	2.26
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	177	98.88	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.12	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Victor Tapson, MD (PAH):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	104	58.10	59.77
Very Good	47	26.26	27.01
Good	18	10.06	10.34
Fair	5	2.79	2.87
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	174	97.21	100.00
Total Missing	5	2.79	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: James Stoller, MD (AAT):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	133	74.30	76.44
Very Good	36	20.11	20.69
Good	5	2.79	2.87
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	174	97.21	100.00
Total Missing	5	2.79	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Gary Lichtenstein, MD (IBD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	124	69.27	72.09
Very Good	44	24.58	25.58
Good	4	2.23	2.33
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	172	96.09	100.00
Total Missing	7	3.91	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Oren Mason, MD (ADHD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	115	64.25	67.65
Very Good	40	22.35	23.53
Good	10	5.59	5.88
Fair	5	2.79	2.94
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	170	94.97	100.00
Total Missing	9	5.03	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Louis Kuritzky, MD (A Fib):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	133	74.30	86.93
Very Good	19	10.61	12.42
Good	1	0.56	0.65
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	153	85.47	100.00
Total Missing	26	14.53	
Total	179	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Louis Kuritzky, MD (LBP):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	131	73.18	89.73
Very Good	15	8.38	10.27
Good	0	0.00	0.00
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	146	81.56	100.00
Total Missing	33	18.44	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Robert Busch, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	144	80.45	82.76
Very Good	24	13.41	13.79
Good	4	2.23	2.30
Fair	2	1.12	1.15
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	174	97.21	100.00
Total Missing	5	2.79	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Marjorie Luckey, MD (Osteo):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	148	82.68	84.57
Very Good	21	11.73	12.00
Good	6	3.35	3.43
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	175	97.77	100.00
Total Missing	4	2.23	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Victor Tapson, MD (PAH):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	143	79.89	82.66
Very Good	26	14.53	15.03
Good	2	1.12	1.16
Fair	2	1.12	1.16
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	173	96.65	100.00
Total Missing	6	3.35	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? James Stoller, MD (AAT):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	152	84.92	86.86
Very Good	22	12.29	12.57
Good	1	0.56	0.57
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	175	97.77	100.00
Total Missing	4	2.23	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Gary Lichtenstein, MD (IBD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	147	82.12	85.47
Very Good	23	12.85	13.37
Good	1	0.56	0.58
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	1	0.56	0.58
Total Valid	172	96.09	100.00
Total Missing	7	3.91	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Oren Mason, MD (ADHD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	139	77.65	81.76
Very Good	26	14.53	15.29
Good	3	1.68	1.76
Fair	2	1.12	1.18
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	170	94.97	100.00
Total Missing	9	5.03	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Louis Kuritzky, MD (A Fib):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	141	78.77	87.04
Very Good	16	8.94	9.88
Good	5	2.79	3.09
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	162	90.50	100.00
Total Missing	17	9.50	
Total	179	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Louis Kuritzky, MD (LBP):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	138	77.09	88.46
Very Good	16	8.94	10.26
Good	2	1.12	1.28
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	156	87.15	100.00
Total Missing	23	12.85	
Total	179	100.00	




Which statement(s) best reflects your reasons for participating in this activity:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Topics covered	133	74.30	74.30
Location/ease of access	133	74.30	74.30
Faculty	53	29.61	29.61
Earn CME credits	135	75.42	75.42
Total Valid	179	100.00	100.00



Future CME activities concerning this subject matter are necessary:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly agree	84	46.93	48.84
Agree	77	43.02	44.77
Neutral	11	6.15	6.40
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	172	96.09	100.00
Total Missing	7	3.91	
Total	179	100.00	



What is your professional degree?

Comment
DNP

What is your specialty?

Comment
Neurology
ENT
Urgent Care
Oncology
Psychiatry
General Surgery
Neurology
Pain Management
Orthopedics
Pain Management
Sleep
Urgent Care
Pediatrics
Peds
Psychiatry
CDE
Dermatology
Family Practice
gastroenterology
Ortho Surgery

As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care. List these strategies:

Comment
Check new guidelines frequently
Be more aggressive in diabetes
FRAX for osteoporosis
Better understanding of osteoporosis
Treatment of A fib
Look for uncommon conditions and diagnose early and refer the patients
Proper work up and when to refer
Use of opioids
Diabetes management and pulmonary HTN
Screening for ADD
Pharmacology choices for diabetes
Consider other medications

Comment
To use evidence based guidelines
More comfortable in using newer treatments
Treatment of ADHD in adults
Bone density screening
Be more inquisitive with patients
CHADs score
Better management of disease
Order tests for ADHD
Assessment of chronic back pain and treatment solutions
Broaden my screening diagnosis and treatment strategies
Proper diagnosis
Treating DM more aggressively
Drug holiday in osteoporosis
Screen for disease
Treatment guidelines
ADHD
Improved screening
Early diabetes treatment
Screening
Use FRAX
Treat ADHD better now
Use FRAX tool
Adding adjunctive therapy to insulin
Diabetes management
Treat Afib more aggressively
Better treatment of diabetes
Therapy in IBD
Screen for abnormal bone mineral density
Diabetes management
Adult ADHD
Screen more for ADHD
Better screening criteria
Vitamin D management
New concepts for ADHD
Screening for AAT deficiency
Use FRAX tool
Osteoporosis treatment
Treatment of IBD
Treat ADHD and use Cymbalta for low back pain
Better osteoporosis treatment
Treatment of A fib
Recognizing IBD
Follow the guidelines
Combination therapy in diabetes

Comment
Management of adult ADHD
When to refer
Early diagnosis
Diabetes care
Aggressive diabetes care
Screen COPD patients
Combination treatment for diabetes
Best treatment options
Better guidelines for IBD
Better screening for ADHD
ADHD treatment
Risk stratification
Treatment for osteoporosis
Advise patients when to change therapies
Screening PAH

What topics would you like to see offered as CME activities in the future?

Comment
Prostate disorders
Travel medicine
Vitamin D
Lipid disorders and hypertension updates
Dermatology
Cancer and autism
Infectious disease
Oncology related topics
Obesity and CHF
Obesity and diabetes
Cardiology
Hepatology
Dermatology and orthopedics
Stroke
Dementia
Allergies
Heart disease and HIV
CHF and SIRS
Infections
Asthma and more on diabetes
Cardiology
HIV and CHF
Nutritional medicine
Cervical cancer and pap smears

Comment
Dermatology
Eating disorders and depression and anxiety
More case scenarios
Endocrine disease and geriatrics
CHF treatment
More cardiology
HTN
Stroke
More on diabetes
Thyroid disorders
Rheumatology and HTN
Women and Men's health
Value of spinal CT scan
HTN and diabetes
Dermatology
Fibromyalgia
Neurological disorders
Asthma and more on diabetes
IBD
More women's health
Nutrition vitamins
Pain management topics
Sleep apnea
HTN
Pain management and addiction
Women's health for primary care
Diabetes management
Hepatitis And HIV
Dermatology
Pediatrics
IBS
Heart failure management and insulin management
Primary care office emergencies
Cardiology
Sports medicine
Breast cancer
HTN and anxiety
HTN and asthma
Rheumatoid arthritis and dermatology
Psychiatric disorders
Connective tissue disorders
Coronary artery disease
Dermatologic emergencies
Medical ethics

Comment
Bipolar disorder
Dermatology
HTN and depression
Surgical issues
Psychiatry
Mental health

Additional comments:

Comment
Thanks
Excellent
Excellent meeting
Very good
Great conference with excellent speakers
Dr. Kuritzky was a fantastic speaker
Presentations were great
Excellent program
Great topics and overview
Dr. Kuritzky was excellent
Good course
Excellent
Thank you
I thought the conference was well organized and I loved the syllabus
Another excellent NACE conference. Thank you!
Very well organized
Time was well managed and the food was good
I liked the group participation
Very good
Very good overall
I enjoyed the day very much and learned a lot
Excellent faculties
Deborah was an excellent moderator
More tables and seating
Excellent program
Thanks
Great course
Programs are well organized
Fine conference
Thank you
It was excellent
A very good conference
Great location and interesting topics
Well done

Comment
Thank you
Very useful to my practice
Very good speakers and topics
Another great conference by NACE
This was extremely well organized and run-thank you
Excellent setting
Excellent presentation
Excellent
Thank you for a good day of learning
Well done

Item Statistics:

	Title	Specialty	Learning Objectives1	Learning Objectives2	Learning Objectives3	Learning Objectives4	Learning Objectives5
Mean	1.73	2.68	1.16	1.10	1.45	1.24	1.24
Variance	1.37	8.26	0.13	0.09	0.33	0.20	0.18
Standard Deviation	1.17	2.87	0.37	0.30	0.57	0.44	0.43
Standard Error	0.09	0.22	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	5.00	8.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	4.00	7.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00

	Learning Objectives6	Learning Objectives7	Learning Objectives8	Overall Activity	Enhancing My Confidence	Improving My Knowledge	Patient Care
Mean	1.30	1.10	1.06	1.47	1.54	1.45	1.49
Variance	0.27	0.09	0.05	0.34	0.31	0.25	0.30
Standard Deviation	0.52	0.30	0.23	0.59	0.55	0.50	0.55
Standard Error	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00
Range	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00

	Make Changes	Implement New Strategies	Robert Busch MD {Diabetes}	Marjorie Luckey MD {Osteo}	Victor Tapson MD {PAH}	James Stoller MD {AAT}	Gary Lichtenstein MD {IBD}
Mean	1.46	1.57	4.65	4.77	4.44	4.74	4.70
Variance	0.59	0.85	0.38	0.22	0.63	0.25	0.26
Standard Deviation	0.77	0.92	0.61	0.47	0.79	0.50	0.51
Standard Error	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04
Minimum	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Maximum	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	1.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Range	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00

	Oren Mason MD {ADHD}	Louis Kuritzky MD {A Fib}	Louis Kuritzky MD {LBP}	Robert Busch MD {Diabetes} Bias	Marjorie Luckey MD {Osteo} Bias	Victor Tapson MD {PAH} Bias	James Stoller MD {AAT} Bias
Mean	4.56	4.86	4.90	4.78	4.81	4.79	4.86
Variance	0.54	0.13	0.09	0.29	0.22	0.26	0.13
Standard Deviation	0.74	0.36	0.30	0.54	0.47	0.51	0.36
Standard Error	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03
Minimum	2.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00
Maximum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Range	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00

	Gary Lichtenstein MD {IBD} Bias	Oren Mason MD {ADHD} Bias	Louis Kuritzky MD {A Fib} Bias	Louis Kuritzky MD {LBP} Bias	Reasons for Participating	Future CME Activities
Mean	4.83	4.78	4.84	4.87	-	1.58
Variance	0.22	0.28	0.20	0.14	-	0.37
Standard Deviation	0.47	0.53	0.44	0.37	-	0.61
Standard Error	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	-	0.05
Minimum	1.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	-	1.00
Maximum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	3.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	2.00
Range	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	-	2.00