



Emerging Challenges In Primary Care: 2012

Activity Evaluation Summary

CME Activity: Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012
Saturday, November 3, 2012
Sheraton Birmingham Hotel
Birmingham, AL

Course Director: Gregg Sherman, MD

**Date of Evaluation
Summary:** December 4, 2012



300 NW 70th Avenue, Suite 102 • Plantation, Florida 33317
(954) 723-0057 Phone • (954) 723-0353 Fax
email: info@naceonline.com

In November 2012, the National Association for Continuing Education (NACE) sponsored a CME program, ***Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012***, in Birmingham, AL.

This educational activity was designed to provide primary care physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants and other primary care providers the opportunity to learn about Diabetes, Atrial Fibrillation, Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension, Osteoporosis, Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency (AAT), Adult ADHD and Chronic Low Back Pain.

In planning this CME activity, the NACE performed a needs assessment. A literature search was conducted, national guidelines were reviewed, survey data was analyzed, and experts in each therapeutic area were consulted to determine gaps in practitioner knowledge, competence or performance.

Two hundred fifty six healthcare practitioners registered to attend ***Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012*** in Birmingham, AL. One hundred seventy eight healthcare practitioners actually attended this conference. Each attendee was asked to complete and return an activity evaluation form prior to the end of the conference. One hundred thirty completed forms were received. The data collected is displayed in this report.


CME ACCREDITATION

The National Association for Continuing Education is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The National Association for Continuing Education designates this live activity for a maximum of 8 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.


What is your professional degree?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
MD	123	72.78	72.78
DO	10	5.92	5.92
NP	24	14.20	14.20
PA	4	2.37	2.37
RN	6	3.55	3.55
Other	2	1.18	1.18
Total Valid	169	100.00	100.00




What is your specialty?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Primary Care	138	81.66	83.13
Endocrinology	2	1.18	1.20
Rheumatology	0	0.00	0.00
Pulmonology	0	0.00	0.00
Cardiology	2	1.18	1.20
Gastroenterology	2	1.18	1.20
OB/GYN	4	2.37	2.41
Other	18	10.65	10.84
Total Valid	166	98.22	100.00
Total Missing	3	1.78	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Address the importance of early diagnosis for enhancing outcomes in type 2 diabetes; identify evidence-based strategies for type 2 diabetes management; discuss the role of newer therapies in the pathophysiology and management of type 2 diabetes; and discuss the role of the chronic care model in optimizing diabetes care:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	143	84.62	86.14
Somewhat	22	13.02	13.25
Not at all	1	0.59	0.60
Total Valid	166	98.22	100.00
Total Missing	3	1.78	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Use the CHADS2 score to assess stroke risk in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF); describe the benefits versus risks of antithrombotic therapy for stroke risk reduction in AF patients; identify appropriate therapeutic interventions for AF patients depending on the level of stroke risk; optimize the long-term management of AF patients receiving antithrombotic therapy:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	153	90.53	91.62
Somewhat	14	8.28	8.38
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	167	98.82	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.18	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Discuss the pathophysiology of low back pain (LBP); recognize Waddell signs and how they can predict symptom magnification; recognize the limitations of some commonly prescribed therapies for back pain; demonstrate increased awareness of evolving pharmacotherapies and their role in low back pain:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	154	91.12	92.77
Somewhat	12	7.10	7.23
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	166	98.22	100.00
Total Missing	3	1.78	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Discuss screening strategies for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women; identify candidates for pharmacologic treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis; evaluate and compare available therapies for osteoporosis; and discuss barriers to adherence and effectiveness of osteoporosis therapy:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	147	86.98	87.50
Somewhat	21	12.43	12.50
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	168	99.41	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.59	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe existing guidelines and best practices in the diagnosis and treatment of ADHD in adults; identify risks for co-morbidities in adult patients with ADHD with emphasis on anxiety disorders, mood disorders, and substance use/abuse disorders; plan a pharmacologic treatment program for adults diagnosed with simple ADHD and more complex ADHD that is complicated by co-morbidities; identify psychosocial treatments for adults diagnosed with ADHD:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	125	73.96	77.64
Somewhat	35	20.71	21.74
Not at all	1	0.59	0.62
Total Valid	161	95.27	100.00
Total Missing	8	4.73	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Address the pathophysiology of PAH; discuss when and how to screen patients for PAH; identify current therapies in the management of PAH; and discuss how to appropriately monitor patients receiving treatment for PAH:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	105	62.13	68.18
Somewhat	42	24.85	27.27
Not at all	7	4.14	4.55
Total Valid	154	91.12	100.00
Total Missing	15	8.88	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe the pathophysiology and impact of AAT deficiency; Define approaches for the early identification of patients with AAT deficiency; Discuss strategies to improve testing in primary care settings; Discuss management of patients with AAT:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	116	68.64	84.06
Somewhat	20	11.83	14.49
Not at all	2	1.18	1.45
Total Valid	138	81.66	100.00
Total Missing	31	18.34	
Total	169	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Identify the conditions referred to as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and discuss their clinical presentations; implement appropriate pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic therapeutic strategies for managing IBD in accordance with evidence-based guidelines; tailor the available medications to the various presentations of IBD with attention to the induction and the maintenance of remission; use currently available laboratory tests to maximize benefit while minimizing toxicity; employ approaches for effectively communicating the risks and benefits of IBD treatment options and facilitating adherence:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	105	62.13	82.68
Somewhat	22	13.02	17.32
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	127	75.15	100.00
Total Missing	42	24.85	
Total	169	100.00	




Overall, I would rate this activity as:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	103	60.95	63.19
Very Good	54	31.95	33.13
Good	6	3.55	3.68
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Poor	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	163	96.45	100.00
Total Missing	6	3.55	
Total	169	100.00	




Overall, this activity was effective in enhancing my confidence in caring for patients with the condition(s) presented?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	88	52.07	53.66
Agree	70	41.42	42.68
Neutral	5	2.96	3.05
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1	0.59	0.61
Total Valid	164	97.04	100.00
Total Missing	5	2.96	
Total	169	100.00	




Overall, this activity was effective in improving my knowledge in the content areas presented:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	100	59.17	61.73
Agree	59	34.91	36.42
Neutral	3	1.78	1.85
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	162	95.86	100.00
Total Missing	7	4.14	
Total	169	100.00	




As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	87	51.48	53.70
Agree	68	40.24	41.98
Neutral	6	3.55	3.70
Disagree	1	0.59	0.62
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	162	95.86	100.00
Total Missing	7	4.14	
Total	169	100.00	




How likely are you to implement these new strategies in your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Very likely	106	62.72	66.25
Somewhat likely	40	23.67	25.00
Unlikely	2	1.18	1.25
Not applicable	12	7.10	7.50
Total Valid	160	94.67	100.00
Total Missing	9	5.33	
Total	169	100.00	




When do you intend to implement these new strategies into your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Within 1 month	104	61.54	65.82
1-3 months	36	21.30	22.78
4-6 months	3	1.78	1.90
Not applicable	15	8.88	9.49
Total Valid	158	93.49	100.00
Total Missing	11	6.51	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Mark Stolar, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	107	63.31	65.24
Very Good	50	29.59	30.49
Good	5	2.96	3.05
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	2	1.18	1.22
Total Valid	164	97.04	100.00
Total Missing	5	2.96	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Louis Kuritzky, MD (A Fib):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	139	82.25	84.76
Very Good	20	11.83	12.20
Good	2	1.18	1.22
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	3	1.78	1.83
Total Valid	164	97.04	100.00
Total Missing	5	2.96	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Louis Kuritzky, MD (LBP):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	146	86.39	88.48
Very Good	14	8.28	8.48
Good	2	1.18	1.21
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	3	1.78	1.82
Total Valid	165	97.63	100.00
Total Missing	4	2.37	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Vernon Hershberger, MD (Osteo):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	79	46.75	50.64
Very Good	60	35.50	38.46
Good	13	7.69	8.33
Fair	2	1.18	1.28
Unsatisfactory	2	1.18	1.28
Total Valid	156	92.31	100.00
Total Missing	13	7.69	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Oren Mason, MD (ADHD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	94	55.62	60.65
Very Good	50	29.59	32.26
Good	10	5.92	6.45
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.65
Total Valid	155	91.72	100.00
Total Missing	14	8.28	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Franck Rahaghi, MD (PAH):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	88	52.07	61.54
Very Good	39	23.08	27.27
Good	13	7.69	9.09
Fair	2	1.18	1.40
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.70
Total Valid	143	84.62	100.00
Total Missing	26	15.38	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Franck Rahaghi, MD (AAT):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	88	52.07	70.97
Very Good	29	17.16	23.39
Good	5	2.96	4.03
Fair	1	0.59	0.81
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.81
Total Valid	124	73.37	100.00
Total Missing	45	26.63	
Total	169	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Gerald W. Dryden, MD (IBD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	82	48.52	74.55
Very Good	21	12.43	19.09
Good	5	2.96	4.55
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	2	1.18	1.82
Total Valid	110	65.09	100.00
Total Missing	59	34.91	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Mark Stolar, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	128	75.74	78.53
Very Good	27	15.98	16.56
Good	6	3.55	3.68
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	2	1.18	1.23
Total Valid	163	96.45	100.00
Total Missing	6	3.55	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Louis Kuritzky, MD (A Fib):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	137	81.07	84.05
Very Good	22	13.02	13.50
Good	3	1.78	1.84
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.61
Total Valid	163	96.45	100.00
Total Missing	6	3.55	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Louis Kuritzky, MD (LBP):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	140	82.84	86.42
Very Good	20	11.83	12.35
Good	1	0.59	0.62
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.62
Total Valid	162	95.86	100.00
Total Missing	7	4.14	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Vernon Hershberger, MD (Osteo):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	118	69.82	74.21
Very Good	35	20.71	22.01
Good	4	2.37	2.52
Fair	1	0.59	0.63
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.63
Total Valid	159	94.08	100.00
Total Missing	10	5.92	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Oren Mason, MD (ADHD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	119	70.41	80.95
Very Good	24	14.20	16.33
Good	3	1.78	2.04
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.68
Total Valid	147	86.98	100.00
Total Missing	22	13.02	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Franck Rahaghi, MD (PAH):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	121	71.60	84.03
Very Good	19	11.24	13.19
Good	3	1.78	2.08
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.69
Total Valid	144	85.21	100.00
Total Missing	25	14.79	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Franck Rahaghi, MD (AAT):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	110	65.09	83.97
Very Good	17	10.06	12.98
Good	2	1.18	1.53
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	2	1.18	1.53
Total Valid	131	77.51	100.00
Total Missing	38	22.49	
Total	169	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Gerald W. Dryden, MD (IBD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	111	65.68	88.80
Very Good	12	7.10	9.60
Good	1	0.59	0.80
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	1	0.59	0.80
Total Valid	125	73.96	100.00
Total Missing	44	26.04	
Total	169	100.00	




Which statement(s) best reflects your reasons for participating in this activity:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Topics covered	118	69.82	71.52
Location/ease of access	115	68.05	69.70
Faculty	30	17.75	18.18
Earn CME credits	137	81.07	83.03
Total Valid	165	97.63	100.00
Total Missing	4	2.37	
Total	169	100.00	



Future CME activities concerning this subject matter are necessary:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly agree	77	45.56	48.13
Agree	73	43.20	45.63
Neutral	10	5.92	6.25
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	160	94.67	100.00
Total Missing	9	5.33	
Total	169	100.00	



What is your professional degree?

Comment
LPN

What is your specialty?

Comment
HIV
Pre MEd
Neurology
Neurology
Pediatrics
Admin
Psychiatry
Neurology Research
Pediatrics
Neurosurgery
Family Planning
Nephrology
General Practice
Public Health

As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care. List these strategies:

Comment
Evaluation of ADHD in adults, new interpretation of CHADS2 score, length of Fosmax treatment, Waddell signs
More attentive to potential pts with ADHD, better Diabetes control with pts, monitor more for IBS
Change strategies for anticoagulation in Afib
Better management, pharmacotherapy
Good presentation
Learned new ideas for managing patients with Atrial Fibrillation, Diabetes, Back Pain and ADHD in particular. Was not aware of some of the issues discussed.
Increase usage T2D and post gliadin tx, add anticoag therapy using CHADS scale and CHADS2VASC, order AATD testing
More effective treatment of Diabetes, better treatment of Osteoporosis, more effective management of Atrial Fibrillation
Take more accurate history
Test for AAT, screen for Osteoporosis routinely
Look for patients with PAH, be more attentive in searching for ADHD, change my approach to management in low back pain syndrome
Approach to dealing with LBP and Opiates

Comment
Better testing strategies for Osteoporosis, better guideline for x rays for IBP, more thoughtful treatment of DMII
Improve diagnosis, make better decisions re treatment, broaden treatment for some of population, make earlier consult decisions
Increase use of incretin metrics for DM, attempt to decrease use of x rays in CBP
I will use CHADS2, FRAX where applicable
Diabetes treatment appropriate for A1C and using GLP-1, basal insulin, CHADS scaling in treating AF pt to decrease COH risk, non-opioid treatment, osteoporosis treatment side effects, use adult self report testing in adult ADHD
Use combination therapies early in treatment of Type II Diabetes
Educate patients on CLBP, utilize CHADS2, use latest guidelines to anticoagulate. Discuss Diabetes, educate patients on PAH/AAT def screen more, read more. Discuss pain mgmt for cLBP, administer ASRS, educate pts on IBD, screen, manage
Changing my screening for osteoporosis, use tools to more effectively treat my pts
Better diagnosis of ADHD, early diagnosis of Diabetes, use of CHADS in treatment of AF, limit use of Opiods for back pain, more screening for Osteoporosis, improve testing for AAT deficiency
Improved treatment of ADHD, recognition in adults, better treatment of Osteoporosis, much improved awareness of AAT
Addressing baseline insulin when HgbA1C > 80, studies to back up not using narcotics for cLBP
Screen COPD for AAT, screen for ADHD, use combination therapy for DM earlier
Hypertension, Obesity, General Psychiatry
More DXA screen, ADHD screening, application of newer for prevention of CHT, less frequent PT monitoring
FRAX, ASA Diagnostic screening tool, stop talking about metformin/insulin affecting waist
Will be able to educate patients
Start 2 drugs for DM2, diagnose and treat osteoporosis, ADHD, understand AATD
Understanding PAH workup, better treatment of low back pain
Management of ADHD
LBP treatment
CHADS use
Improving DM treatment
More screening for ADD in adults
Use CHADs scoring
Use of echo in PAH
Start combination therapy for diabetes
Management of diabetes and ADHD
Improved AAT testing
More aggressive diabetes treatment
Proper screening for ADHD
Use of CHADS
Avoiding opioid therapy in back pain
CHADS
Attention to screening for AAT deficiency
Better diabetes management
Use of CHADS

Comment
Approach to diabetes treatment
Pulmonary hypertension
Avoid opioids and CHADS use
More knowledge and awareness
Symptoms and diagnosis
Osteoporosis treatment
ADHD treatment
Routine ADHD screening
Using CHAD score and Waddells sign
CHAD score
LBP treatment
Reduce opioid treatment and screen more for ADHD
Diagnosis of PAH
Modification of my screening and treatments
Early diabetes testing and testing in COPD patients
New A fib guidelines
More interest in CHADS
Adult ADHD
Reinforced knowledge and patient care

What topics would you like to see offered as CME activities in the future?

Comment
Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Migraine, Cancer screening
More ADHD, CAD
Multiple Sclerosis, Parkinson's, SLE, Rheumatoid Arthritis
Any topics, Dermatology, treatment, Women's Health
Pediatric topics
Dermatology, HTN, billing, coding
Office Dermatology, management of Chronic Renal Disease, office emergencies
Coronary Artery Disease, HTN, Hepatitis C, cancer screening
PUD, CHF, Management of patients post chemotherapy/Radiotherapy, management of Hepatitis B and C
DM, Cardiovascular Disease, Pain Management
Thyroid Disease
Obesity, new drug therapies, Obamacare and how it will affect us
HTN Management, CKD in Diabetic
Sleep Disorders, especially OSA
COPD, HTN in relation to CVD, Psychotherapy meds
Treatment of MS
Refractory HTN, Obesity management
Hyperlipidemia, Obesity, Cancer and Nutritional Therapy and Depression in Family Practice
Orthopedics, Dermatology
Viral Hepatitis, Rheumatologic topic, Dermatology topics

Comment
Early Dx, long term management, Alzheimer's Disease
Depression and anxiety instead of ADHD
Gluten intolerance, Celiac Disease, update in immunizations
Sleep Apnea, weight loss management without drug therapy
Resistant hypertension
HIV, Psychiatry for Primary Care, Lipids, COPD, Dermatology
Infections, office orthopedics, Urology, Gastroenterology, Hypertension
Liver disease, liver enzymes, COPD
Low testosterone
Acute pain management
CHF, Rheumatology, Pediatric topics, DB topics, dry cost
Movement disorders
Community acquired pneumonia, DVT
Pharmacology updates
Thyroid disorder, back pain, pain management, DM, COPD
COPD, CKD
COPD, HTN
Thyroid function tests, discussion
Heart failure
Stroke
Headache
Electronic medical records
Heart failure and CAD
Knee pain
Colon cancer screening
Depression
Heart failure
Dermatology
Dementia
HIV management
Thyroid care
COPD
Pediatric topics
Hypertension
Pain management
Psychiatric disorders
Vaccination update
Urology topics
Obesity and HTN
Depression
COPD
Liver disease and CAD
Anything
ENT subjects

Comment
CHF
More primary care topics
Common eye and skin diseases
Dermatology
MS
Psychiatry
Illicit drug use
Acne and smoking cessation
Addiction
Heart disease
HTN
More cardiology
Domestic violence and child abuse
Lupus and RA

Additional comments:

Comment
Overall excellent conference
Excellent program, speakers, keep it up
Very good presentation, NP Board of Nursing credit hours saw only MD credit
Good sessions, helpful
Dr. Kuritzky always gives great information lectures, he is an amazing teacher, well run meeting
Excellent course, well designed, great speakers
Very good presentations
Good talks with wonderful faculty
More time, more talks and educators
Good location and timing for the program
Excellent speakers
All were good but too short, each could be an all day program
Thank you
All speakers were phenomenal
Well presented information, thank you fmconnery@msn.com
For Dr. Dryden a bit too much detail, otherwise a very effective presentation of a difficult subject
The best CME program I have been to in a while, thank you, very good information for day to day practice
Excellent, concise presentation made learning fun, excellent topics
Very good program
Thank you
Good program
Compliance to drug issues, narcotic abuse
Great speakers and topics
This was an excellent presentation and with current topics. Thanks for the opportunity
Excellent-keep up
More complex topics such as cardiology should be scheduled earlier in the day

Comment
ADD lecture is too long
Thanks-good program
Good program
Excellent conference
Thanks
A very good conference
Great program
Thanks-see you next year
I loved the low back pain lecture
Great conference
Excellent and good food
Overall very good
Good job and much appreciated
Outstanding speakers
Thank you
Very well organized and good topics
Good diversity of topics
Very good speakers
Excellent program
Thanks
Espresso coffee
I am so impressed-thank you
Great
Overall-excellent
Great program
Excellent topics
Excellent-thank you
Thank you
ANCC approved for NPs
Well done
Thank you so much
Excellent program and very informative
Thank you

Item Statistics:

	Title	Specialty	Learning Objectives1	Learning Objectives2	Learning Objectives3	Learning Objectives4	Learning Objectives5
Mean	1.62	2.02	1.14	1.08	1.07	1.13	1.23
Variance	1.33	5.67	0.14	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.19
Standard Deviation	1.15	2.38	0.37	0.28	0.26	0.33	0.44
Standard Error	0.09	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	6.00	8.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	5.00	7.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00

	Learning Objectives6	Learning Objectives7	Learning Objectives8	Overall Activity	Enhancing My Confidence	Improving My Knowledge	Patient Care
Mean	1.36	1.17	1.17	1.40	1.51	1.40	1.51
Variance	0.32	0.17	0.14	0.32	0.39	0.28	0.36
Standard Deviation	0.57	0.42	0.38	0.56	0.62	0.53	0.60
Standard Error	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	5.00	3.00	4.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	3.00

	Make Changes	Implement New Strategies	Mark Stolar MD {Diabetes}	Louis Kuritzky MD {A Fib}	Louis Kuritzky MD {LBP}	Vernon Hershberger MD {Osteo}	Oren Mason MD {ADHD}
Mean	1.50	1.55	4.59	4.78	4.82	4.36	4.52
Variance	0.73	0.86	0.45	0.42	0.39	0.63	0.46
Standard Deviation	0.85	0.93	0.67	0.65	0.63	0.79	0.68
Standard Error	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	1.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Range	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00

	Franck Rahaghi MD {PAH}	Franck Rahaghi MD {AAT}	Gerald W Dryden MD {IBD}	Mark Stolar MD {Diabetes} Bias	Louis Kuritzky MD {A Fib} Bias	Louis Kuritzky MD {LBP} Bias	Vernon Hershberger MD {Osteo} Bias
Mean	4.48	4.63	4.65	4.71	4.80	4.84	4.69
Variance	0.60	0.46	0.54	0.43	0.27	0.22	0.38
Standard Deviation	0.78	0.68	0.74	0.65	0.52	0.47	0.62
Standard Error	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Range	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00

	Oren Mason MD {ADHD} Bias	Franck Rahaghi MD {PAH} Bias	Franck Rahaghi MD {AAT} Bias	Gerald W Dryden MD {IBD} Bias	Reasons for Participating	Future CME Activities
Mean	4.77	4.80	4.78	4.86	-	1.58
Variance	0.30	0.29	0.39	0.24	-	0.37
Standard Deviation	0.55	0.54	0.62	0.49	-	0.61
Standard Error	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	-	0.05
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	1.00
Maximum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	3.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	2.00
Range	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	-	2.00