



Emerging Challenges In Primary Care: *2012*

Activity Evaluation Summary

CME Activity: Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012
Saturday, September 29, 2012
Sheraton Long Island Hotel
Hauppauge, NY

Course Director: Gregg Sherman, MD

**Date of Evaluation
Summary:** October 31, 2012



300 NW 70th Avenue, Suite 102 • Plantation, Florida 33317
(954) 723-0057 Phone • (954) 723-0353 Fax
email: info@naceonline.com

In September 2012, the National Association for Continuing Education (NACE) sponsored a CME program, ***Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012***, in Hauppauge, NY.

This educational activity was designed to provide primary care physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants and other primary care providers the opportunity to learn about Diabetes, Atrial Fibrillation, Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Osteoporosis, Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension, Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Disorder, ADHD in Adults, and Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis.

In planning this CME activity, the NACE performed a needs assessment. A literature search was conducted, national guidelines were reviewed, survey data was analyzed, and experts in each therapeutic area were consulted to determine gaps in practitioner knowledge, competence or performance.

One hundred ninety eight healthcare practitioners registered to attend ***Emerging Challenges in Primary Care: 2012*** in Hauppauge, NY. One hundred twenty one healthcare practitioners actually attended this conference. Each attendee was asked to complete and return an activity evaluation form prior to the end of the conference. One hundred eleven completed forms were received. The data collected is displayed in this report.


CME ACCREDITATION

The National Association for Continuing Education is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The National Association for Continuing Education designates this live activity for a maximum of 8 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.


What is your professional degree?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
MD	75	67.57	68.18
DO	2	1.80	1.82
NP	18	16.22	16.36
PA	14	12.61	12.73
RN	0	0.00	0.00
Other	1	0.90	0.91
Total Valid	110	99.10	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.90	
Total	111	100.00	




What is your specialty?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Primary Care	83	74.77	74.77
Endocrinology	1	0.90	0.90
Rheumatology	0	0.00	0.00
Pulmonology	0	0.00	0.00
Cardiology	2	1.80	1.80
Gastroenterology	1	0.90	0.90
OB/GYN	4	3.60	3.60
Other	20	18.02	18.02
Total Valid	111	100.00	100.00




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Address the importance of early diagnosis for enhancing outcomes in type 2 diabetes; identify evidence-based strategies for type 2 diabetes management; discuss the role of newer therapies in the pathophysiology and management of type 2 diabetes; and discuss the role of the chronic care model in optimizing diabetes care:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	102	91.89	93.58
Somewhat	7	6.31	6.42
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	109	98.20	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.80	
Total	111	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Use the CHADS2 score to assess stroke risk in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF); describe the benefits versus risks of antithrombotic therapy for stroke risk reduction in AF patients; identify appropriate therapeutic interventions for AF patients depending on the level of stroke risk; optimize the long-term management of AF patients receiving antithrombotic therapy:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	104	93.69	94.55
Somewhat	6	5.41	5.45
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	110	99.10	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.90	
Total	111	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe existing guidelines and best practices in the diagnosis and treatment of ADHD in adults; identify risks for co-morbidities in adult patients with ADHD with emphasis on anxiety disorders, mood disorders, and substance use/abuse disorders; plan a pharmacologic treatment program for adults diagnosed with simple ADHD and more complex ADHD that is complicated by co-morbidities; identify psychosocial treatments for adults diagnosed with ADHD:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	79	71.17	79.80
Somewhat	20	18.02	20.20
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	99	89.19	100.00
Total Missing	12	10.81	
Total	111	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Identify the conditions referred to as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and discuss their clinical presentations; implement appropriate pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic therapeutic strategies for managing IBD in accordance with evidence-based guidelines; tailor the available medications to the various presentations of IBD with attention to the induction and the maintenance of remission; use currently available laboratory tests to maximize benefit while minimizing toxicity; employ approaches for effectively communicating the risks and benefits of IBD treatment options and facilitating adherence:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	96	86.49	89.72
Somewhat	10	9.01	9.35
Not at all	1	0.90	0.93
Total Valid	107	96.40	100.00
Total Missing	4	3.60	
Total	111	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe the state-of-the-art approach to diagnosing idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) from among a range of diffuse parenchymal lung disorders; Define prognostic features for individual IPF patients; Apply appropriate pharmacotherapeutic options for individual IPF patients while having a general understanding of the options under intense investigation; Discuss the role of available non-pharmacological therapies including pulmonary rehabilitation, oxygen supplementation and lung transplantation in IPF management:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	86	77.48	78.18
Somewhat	22	19.82	20.00
Not at all	2	1.80	1.82
Total Valid	110	99.10	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.90	
Total	111	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Address the pathophysiology of PAH; discuss when and how to screen patients for PAH; identify current therapies in the management of PAH; and discuss how to appropriately monitor patients receiving treatment for PAH:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	92	82.88	83.64
Somewhat	17	15.32	15.45
Not at all	1	0.90	0.91
Total Valid	110	99.10	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.90	
Total	111	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Describe the pathophysiology and impact of AAT deficiency; Define approaches for the early identification of patients with AAT deficiency; Discuss strategies to improve testing in primary care settings; Discuss management of patients with AAT:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	95	85.59	88.79
Somewhat	11	9.91	10.28
Not at all	1	0.90	0.93
Total Valid	107	96.40	100.00
Total Missing	4	3.60	
Total	111	100.00	




Upon completion of this activity, I can now - Discuss screening strategies for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women; identify candidates for pharmacologic treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis; evaluate and compare available therapies for osteoporosis; and discuss barriers to adherence and effectiveness of osteoporosis therapy:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Yes	88	79.28	95.65
Somewhat	4	3.60	4.35
Not at all	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	92	82.88	100.00
Total Missing	19	17.12	
Total	111	100.00	




Overall, I would rate this activity as:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	69	62.16	63.30
Very Good	33	29.73	30.28
Good	6	5.41	5.50
Fair	1	0.90	0.92
Poor	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	109	98.20	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.80	
Total	111	100.00	




Overall, this activity was effective in enhancing my confidence in caring for patients with the condition(s) presented?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	63	56.76	57.27
Agree	43	38.74	39.09
Neutral	4	3.60	3.64
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	110	99.10	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.90	
Total	111	100.00	




Overall, this activity was effective in improving my knowledge in the content areas presented:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	74	66.67	67.27
Agree	34	30.63	30.91
Neutral	2	1.80	1.82
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	110	99.10	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.90	
Total	111	100.00	




As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	66	59.46	60.00
Agree	42	37.84	38.18
Neutral	2	1.80	1.82
Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	110	99.10	100.00
Total Missing	1	0.90	
Total	111	100.00	




How likely are you to implement these new strategies in your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Very likely	79	71.17	73.83
Somewhat likely	23	20.72	21.50
Unlikely	1	0.90	0.93
Not applicable	4	3.60	3.74
Total Valid	107	96.40	100.00
Total Missing	4	3.60	
Total	111	100.00	




When do you intend to implement these new strategies into your practice?

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Within 1 month	65	58.56	63.11
1-3 months	29	26.13	28.16
4-6 months	3	2.70	2.91
Not applicable	6	5.41	5.83
Total Valid	103	92.79	100.00
Total Missing	8	7.21	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Robert Busch, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	75	67.57	70.09
Very Good	31	27.93	28.97
Good	1	0.90	0.93
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	107	96.40	100.00
Total Missing	4	3.60	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Jan Basile, MD (A Fib):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	84	75.68	79.25
Very Good	21	18.92	19.81
Good	1	0.90	0.94
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	106	95.50	100.00
Total Missing	5	4.50	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Harvey Parker, PhD (ADHD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	59	53.15	67.82
Very Good	24	21.62	27.59
Good	3	2.70	3.45
Fair	1	0.90	1.15
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	87	78.38	100.00
Total Missing	24	21.62	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Gerald W. Dryden, MD (IBD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	70	63.06	70.71
Very Good	24	21.62	24.24
Good	5	4.50	5.05
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	99	89.19	100.00
Total Missing	12	10.81	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Fernando Martinez, MD (IPF):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	63	56.76	73.26
Very Good	16	14.41	18.60
Good	6	5.41	6.98
Fair	1	0.90	1.16
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	86	77.48	100.00
Total Missing	25	22.52	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Franck Rahaghi, MD (PAH):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	74	66.67	73.27
Very Good	20	18.02	19.80
Good	6	5.41	5.94
Fair	1	0.90	0.99
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	101	90.99	100.00
Total Missing	10	9.01	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Franck Rahaghi, MD (AAT):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	71	63.96	70.30
Very Good	26	23.42	25.74
Good	3	2.70	2.97
Fair	1	0.90	0.99
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	101	90.99	100.00
Total Missing	10	9.01	
Total	111	100.00	




In terms of delivery of the presentation, please rate the effectiveness of the speaker: Vernon Hershberger, MD (Osteo):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	61	54.95	72.62
Very Good	22	19.82	26.19
Good	1	0.90	1.19
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	84	75.68	100.00
Total Missing	27	24.32	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Robert Busch, MD (Diabetes):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	89	80.18	83.18
Very Good	17	15.32	15.89
Good	1	0.90	0.93
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	107	96.40	100.00
Total Missing	4	3.60	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Jan Basile, MD (A Fib):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	90	81.08	84.91
Very Good	15	13.51	14.15
Good	1	0.90	0.94
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	106	95.50	100.00
Total Missing	5	4.50	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Harvey Parker, PhD (ADHD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	76	68.47	79.17
Very Good	19	17.12	19.79
Good	1	0.90	1.04
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	96	86.49	100.00
Total Missing	15	13.51	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Gerald W. Dryden, MD (IBD):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	84	75.68	84.00
Very Good	14	12.61	14.00
Good	2	1.80	2.00
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	100	90.09	100.00
Total Missing	11	9.91	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Fernando Martinez, MD (IPF):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	77	69.37	90.59
Very Good	7	6.31	8.24
Good	0	0.00	0.00
Fair	1	0.90	1.18
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	85	76.58	100.00
Total Missing	26	23.42	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Franck Rahaghi, MD (PAH):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	84	75.68	81.55
Very Good	17	15.32	16.50
Good	1	0.90	0.97
Fair	1	0.90	0.97
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	103	92.79	100.00
Total Missing	8	7.21	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Franck Rahaghi, MD (AAT):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	87	78.38	85.29
Very Good	13	11.71	12.75
Good	1	0.90	0.98
Fair	1	0.90	0.98
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	102	91.89	100.00
Total Missing	9	8.11	
Total	111	100.00	




To what degree do you believe that the subject matter was presented fair, balanced, and free of commercial bias? Vernon Hershberger, MD (Osteo):

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Excellent	76	68.47	85.39
Very Good	12	10.81	13.48
Good	1	0.90	1.12
Fair	0	0.00	0.00
Unsatisfactory	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	89	80.18	100.00
Total Missing	22	19.82	
Total	111	100.00	




Which statement(s) best reflects your reasons for participating in this activity:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Topics covered	80	72.07	73.39
Location/ease of access	72	64.86	66.06
Faculty	35	31.53	32.11
Earn CME credits	87	78.38	79.82
Total Valid	109	98.20	100.00
Total Missing	2	1.80	
Total	111	100.00	



Future CME activities concerning this subject matter are necessary:

Label	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Strongly agree	65	58.56	61.32
Agree	34	30.63	32.08
Neutral	5	4.50	4.72
Disagree	2	1.80	1.89
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	0.00
Total Valid	106	95.50	100.00
Total Missing	5	4.50	
Total	111	100.00	



What is your professional degree?

Comment
RPA-C
MS
PAD

What is your specialty?

Comment
Home Care
Anesthesia
Surgery
Ortho Surgery
Family Practice
Nephrology
HIV Specialist
Pediatrics
Emergency
Nephrology
Internal Medicine
Home Care
Internal Medicine
ENT
Cardiac Surgery
Internal Medicine
Oncology
Urology

As a result of this activity, I have learned new strategies for patient care. List these strategies:

Comment
ADHD screening, use of index, osteoporosis evaluations
More comprehensive management with specialty
IPF questions, Antitrypsin levels on all COPD pts
New testing, newer medication, new diagnostic history taking and exam technique
AAT testing, f/u with PAH pts, checking for appropriate vaccinations prior to starting IBD tx
Anticoag in A Fib pts, meds for IBD
New development in management of A Fib, properly help patient with pulmonary hypertension
Presenting the pathophysiology of the disease and approach for the well being of the patients
Will screen for ADHD and antitrypsin deficiency, use GLP-1 more
Use CHAD2 score, testing for PHA, better understanding diabetic drugs, bowel disease
Stroke risk, new anticoagulation recommendations

Comment
Use of CHAD scoring, testing for alpha antitrypsin
Diagnostic tests
Less use of aspirin, earlier DM treatment
Diagnostic and management A Fib, IPF, Pulmonary HTN
Increased use of CHADS2 and FRAX
I will not take only Dx of IPF for granted, I will consider checking some of my COPD pt for AAT deficiency, I am better equipped to treat osteoporosis
Early aggressive DM, utilize FRAX
CHADS2
Better able to manage diabetes
More aggressive diabetes management and discontinuation of step care management, monitoring INR Q3 months in A Fib patients that are stable and well controlled, I plan to implement AATD testing and spirometry, use ADHD self report scale to screen for ADHD in adults
ADHD toolkit, pts who use SSRIs as risk factor
More aggressive approach earlier
Medications, screening
Use of Warfarin and Dagabitran in Afib, test for AAT
Decrease use of glyburide, test for AATD in COPD, decrease use of aspirin, seek a PH center
Screen for antitrypsin deficiency
More aggressive use of oral injectables
Using the CHADS 2 score to access risk of stroke in patients with Afib
Obtain more detailed personal and family history, perform indicated laboratory tests, refer to specialists when needed
Recognition of signs and symptoms of entities discussed, better understanding of treatment strategies
More care for patients based on age, more aggressive tx of diabetics, use of CHAD score for pts with Afib also use of warfarin
Choose ASA, oral antibiotics in AF, how to discuss with pt
New medications, refine and expand diagnostic criteria
CHADS2 and FRAX use in pt care
Early initiation of GLP-1 for DM
Meds for D. Melitus
Using CHADS score, testing for alpha, antitrypsin deficiency, more comfortable with different treatment regimens for DM2
Assessment of COPD pt, include AATD testing, monitoring INR every 3 months for stable Afib pts, use FRAX to determine the pts risk for fracture
Unfortunately I am solely involved in orth surgery
Excellent presentation of the material and organization at the conference we need more of them
Will try GLP with my insulin pts, never did that before
Feel more confident with diabetes management, instituting multiple meds sooner, feel more confident with OXDS
Very useful for my practice
Follow updated knowledge guidelines learned from lecture with syllabus, explained to patients pathophysiology,

Comment
Testing for alpha one deficiency, adding different medications, Afib changes
Treating diabetic using Victoza as combination treatment, maintaining bronchodilators as 1st line treatment, combination drugs in IBS
Increase pt screening for ATD, ADHD screenings and 1st line treatment
Screen
AATD testing, more initiation of GLP-1 and combo therapy as 1st line, using CHAD and dexa screening when appropriate
CHADS2 Score, ADHD self reporting scale
Use more often 2 drug therapy at start of DM type 2, be aware of the different causes of Pulmonary Hypertension
Changes in standard recommendations, when to administer certain meds, i.e. first line therapies
New ADA guidelines, CHADS score
Better recognize and thus implement treatment based on clinical evidence via various studies in this conference

What topics would you like to see offered as CME activities in the future?

Comment
BPH, overactive bladder
More cardiology subjects
Evaluating the patient, using imaging in primary care practice
Use of exercise and supplements
CHF, HTA, arthritis
Topics in cardiology, Women's Health, Surgery, OB GYN, Elder Care
CVS, DM, CNS, HIV
ENT, cardiology, infectious disease
CHF, AF, FAUS, DM 1 in Athletes
HTN, COPD, pain management in elderly
ADHD, autism spectrum, ACA and accessing health care system
Trust you to do it
None
Testosterone management, celiac disease diagnosis and treatment, asthma and guidelines, abnormal alkaline phosphatase workup
Thyroid
Breast Cancer
HTN, fibrosis, etc.
Hepatitis B and C and HIV treatment
Skin ca, breast ca, hyperlipidemia
Leukemia, anemia
Genetic counseling
CAD, GI bleeding
Less detailed topics best discussed with subspecialists

Comment
Chronic kidney diseases in care
More lectures on diabetes, too many new meds developed in the last few years can be confusing, orthopedics for PCP
HTN, CHF, CKD, asthma, insomnia, depression
CAD, COPD
Infectious disease, Hepatitis C, women's health, dermatological disorders
Musculoskeletal diseases, dermatological diseases
CHF, CVA/TIA, Parkinson's, AD, HRT and testosterone
Dermatology skin disorders
Psychiatry in primary care, women's health issues
Thyroid disease, CHF, neuropathy, pain management
Primary screening tests
Dermatology in primary care, COPD, Alzheimer's
Hypertension, asthma
Management of Diabetes complications, use of stents in prevention of stroke
Women's health issues
Updates
Ophthalmology in Diabetics, orthopedics
Hyperlipidemia
Not sure
CHF, CAD, HTN, sleep apnea
Asthma, CAD, HIV
In or out patient follow ups
Advances in GI endoscopy, cardiac intervention, neurology
Any and all
Primary care
Thyroid disease and modules, current weight loss theories
Stroke, ACS, drug abuse
Atopic dermatitis, asthma, spinal stenosis, Alzheimer's, hypertension
Management of systemic hypertension and its complications
New contraception options, psoriasis treatment
Cardiac topic, more on DM and new research on treating these pts and monitoring prior to surgery
DM, hypertension, dementia, depression, anxiety, pain management, intrarticular injection
Diabetes
Thyroid, diabetes, anxiety, depression
Pediatrics and Gyn topics, dermatology and orthopedics
Hypertension
Cancers, multiple sclerosis, sinusitis
Headaches, anemia, HTN
Thyroid disease, OP, HTN, CAD
Sleep apnea, cardiovascular disease

Additional comments:

Comment
Excellent topics. Great faculty and content. Please come back to this area again Thank you
Room temperature too cold
Sheraton venue was excellent Thank you
Kudos for the NACE team
Uniondale Marriott is a great place for conferences, this is the first time I have been to one of your conferences and I really enjoyed it
Very good activity
Keep it up
Very good
Excellent topics and discussion, food and other arrangements, would like to attend more of these conferences
Great program
Good review
Very well done thanks
Hold next session in Nassau County, NY
Thank you
Dr. Basile great speaker
Important and excellent topics and faculty thank you
Excellent thank you
Syllabus book was very helpful. Please insert colored dividers with labeled tabs between lecture notes for easy access to appropriate pages
Overall excellent conference
Thank you
Thank you
Excellent program and speakers, syllabus
Location of lectures was very convenient
Very informative and educational thank you
HTN, dermatology
Good conference

Item Statistics:

	Title	Specialty	Learning Objectives1	Learning Objectives2	Learning Objectives3	Learning Objectives4	Learning Objectives5
Mean	1.77	2.60	1.06	1.05	1.20	1.11	1.24
Variance	1.46	8.15	0.06	0.05	0.16	0.12	0.22
Standard Deviation	1.21	2.85	0.25	0.23	0.40	0.35	0.47
Standard Error	0.12	0.27	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	6.00	8.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	5.00	7.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00

	Learning Objectives6	Learning Objectives7	Learning Objectives8	Overall Activity	Enhancing My Confidence	Improving My Knowledge	Patient Care
Mean	1.17	1.12	1.04	1.44	1.46	1.35	1.42
Variance	0.16	0.13	0.04	0.42	0.32	0.26	0.28
Standard Deviation	0.40	0.36	0.21	0.64	0.57	0.51	0.53
Standard Error	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	2.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

	Make Changes	Implement New Strategies	Robert Busch MD {Diabetes}	Jan Basile MD {A Fib}	Harvey Parker PhD {ADHD}	Gerald W Dryden MD {IBD}	Fernando Martinez MD {IPF}
Mean	1.35	1.51	4.69	4.78	4.62	4.66	4.64
Variance	0.47	0.66	0.23	0.19	0.38	0.33	0.45
Standard Deviation	0.69	0.81	0.48	0.44	0.61	0.57	0.67
Standard Error	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.07
Minimum	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00
Maximum	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	1.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Range	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00

	Franck Rahaghi MD {PAH}	Franck Rahaghi MD {AAT}	Vernon Hershberger MD {Osteo}	Robert Busch MD {Diabetes} Bias	Jan Basile MD {A Fib} Bias	Harvey Parker PhD {ADHD} Bias	Gerald W Dryden MD {IBD} Bias
Mean	4.65	4.65	4.71	4.82	4.84	4.78	4.82
Variance	0.41	0.35	0.23	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.19
Standard Deviation	0.64	0.59	0.48	0.41	0.39	0.44	0.44
Standard Error	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Minimum	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Maximum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Range	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00

	Fernando Martinez MD {IPF} Bias	Franck Rahaghi MD {PAH} Bias	Franck Rahaghi MD {AAT} Bias	Vernon Hershberger MD {Osteo} Bias	Reasons for Participating	Future CME Activities
Mean	4.88	4.79	4.82	4.84	-	1.47
Variance	0.18	0.25	0.23	0.16	-	0.46
Standard Deviation	0.42	0.50	0.48	0.40	-	0.68
Standard Error	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	-	0.07
Minimum	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	-	1.00
Maximum	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	4.00
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	-	1.00
Range	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	-	3.00